



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,769	09/14/2006	Werner Reinhart	1093-146 PCT/US	9833
23869	7590	11/25/2011	EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			JOY, DAVID J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		1785		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
		11/25/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/561,769	REINHART ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> David J. Joy	<b>Art Unit</b> 1785

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) David J. Joy. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Kevin E. McDermott, Reg. No. 35,946. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2011.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Issues Discussed  101  112  102  103  Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 6-28.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

In speaking to Mr. Kevin McDermott, the Examiner was told that in regards to whether the present case had been abandoned, the applicant had instructed their representatives not to do any additional work in the instant application. As such, no response to the final rejection that was mailed on 17 March 2011 was filed.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/DJJ/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1785

/Mark Ruthkosky/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785